

Part B

Grievance Appeal Procedures Exhausted

Table of Contents

File log Numbers :

Page Number :

1. SR-12-09-08
2. SR-12-09-09
3. SR-12-09-10
4. SR-12-09-16
5. SR-12-09-17
6. SR-12-09-18

1
8
13
18
23
28

Note: 1. through 6. is Six different issues with
Six identical response's, NO investigation

7. SR-12-10-22

39

Note: Part of NO classification " life Sentence"

8. SR-12-10-05

45

Note: wardens abuse of Power

9. SR-12-10-20

50

Note: Compliance monitor and mail Problems

10. SR-12-10-26

55

Note: Mental health Neglect

11. SR-12-10-29

60

Note: Sanitation issue

12. SR-12-10-24

65

Note: No chair in Cell

13. SR-12-10-30

70

Note: Physiological

14. SR-12-10-31

75

Note: Deputy Warden refused To investigate
Federal Violations.

File log Numbers :	Page Number :
15. SR-12-10-25 Note: Manipulating To Circumvent Procedure	79
16. SR-12-10-21 Note: life Sentence exempt from programming	84
17. SR-12-10-19 Note: Federal Violation, refused To investigate and Clayton's prison has this Violation Too.	90
18. SR-12-10-10 Note: Dept of Corr. refused To investigate Note: Someone signs in the behalf of Jerry Roark so when Violations get exposed or the question is asked then whoever can claim "Fraud in the inducement" to avoid responsibility?	91
19. SR-12-10-06 = Food Service from Sept and Oct 2012 lost 34 pounds in Segregation by Food deprivation Note: Word "Therapeutic" refers To disease or waste <u>Not</u> a diagnostic specialty.	92
20. SR-12-11-10 = Food Service Note: Quality Assurance Monitor is non-existent in Title only.	93
21. SR-12-11-06 = Food Service	94
22. SR-12-11-14 = Food Service	95
23. SR-12-11-15 = legal Access Denied (Date Nov. 27 th 2012) Direct Appeal To Dept. of Corr. meaning that Lt. Vigil refused To investigate or Circumvented by reference To another Grievance, manipulating Tactic, To avoid issue.	96
Policy CD-150501 Inmate Grievance Procedure Dept. of Corr. refused To respond within the allowed timeframe of thirty five Calendar days so federal law deems this as exhausted.	
24. Request for blank Paper impeded and denied	103

end




State of New Mexico Corrections Department

Susana Martinez, Governor
Gregg Marcantel, Cabinet Secretary

PO Box 27116
Santa Fe, NM 87502-0116

James Ruppert NMCD 32293
Guadalupe County Correctional Facility
Grievance SR-12-09-08

Denied: This is in response to your grievance appeal you filed concerning staff harassment. The initial investigation indicates that you have failed to submit any type of evidence to support your claim and you continue to submit frivolous claims against staff. You have failed to provide any evidence in your allegations against staff and that staff are negligent for harassing you and committing fraudulent acts. You are not being denied legal access to the courts and you have failed to prove otherwise. You continue to submit false allegations and utilize the grievance procedure against staff in retaliation because you are non-compliant with the rules and regulations set forth within the NMCD when you issued a major level misconduct report for fraud. CD-150500, states that inmates are prohibited from the misuse/abuse of the grievance system. Inmates are not to use this procedure as a form of harassment against staff. Inmates using the grievance procedure to perpetrate the commission of a purposeful serious misconduct will be subject to disciplinary action consistent with current disciplinary policy provisions. You are retaliating against staff and the Department and this is prohibited. Your grievance appeal has failed to provide any evidence to support your claim; therefore this grievance appeal is denied.


Jerry Roark, Director of Adult Prisons

For Dir. Roark

11/9/12
Date

mail box on 8-31-12
Copy my file

NEW MEXICO CORRECTIONS DEPARTMENT

AUG 31 2012

INMATE INFORMAL COMPLAINT

BY: K. Bacon
08-12-81

Inmate Name: James Ruppert NMCD#: 32293

Facility: G.C.C.F. HU/Cell #: H-1-A 201 Date of Incident: 8-29-12

Name of subject or person to whom the complaint was filed against: Warden Bravo under respondeat Superior theory is knowingly allowing S.T.I.U. To interfere with mail and or legal mail.

Explain your complaint in detail: LT. Justin Rodger does interfere with mail To and from a legal entity on 8-29-12 LT. Rodger showed me several envelopes received from Supreme Court law library obstruction of mail and or legal mail is a Federal Violation.

Inmate Signature: James Ruppert Title Respondeat Superior
Date: 8-30-12

Reviewing Staff Member

Date Received: 9-10-12

I, G. MORRIS, Major have reviewed the above informal complaint and
Reviewing Staff Member

Recommend: () Resolution (☒) Recommend formal grievance

Explain: This issue could not be resolved at the informal stage. Warden states that he would never knowingly allow staff to interfere with legal mail. Inmate Ruppert believes otherwise

Staff Member: G. MORRIS [Signature] Date: 9-10-12
Print / Sign

Acknowledged by the signatures below, this informal complaint is: ☐ Resolved ☒ Unresolved

Reviewing Staff Member: John Bravo [Signature] Date: 9-10-12
Print / Sign

Staff Witness: Kiana Bacon [Signature] Date: 9-10-12
Print / Sign

Inmate: James Ruppert [Signature] Date: 9-10-12
Print / Sign

If this informal complaint could not be resolved, the inmate may pursue a formal grievance within 20 calendar days of the date of incident.

Attach this document to the Formal Grievance.


RECEIVED
SEP 11 2012
Form CD-150501.1
(page #1)
BY: K. Bacc
SR-12-09-08
Grievance File #:

INMATE GRIEVANCE

Inmate's Name: James Ruppert NMCD#: 32293 Grievance File #:

Institution: GCCF Housing Unit: H-1-A 201 Date of Incident: 8-29-12

Date Received by Grievance Officer: 9/11/12
Grievance Officer's Signature: [Signature]



STEP 1 - Grievance: Include documentation and names of any witnesses to support your claim. For your grievance to be accepted, you must state the relief requested. Use additional pages, if necessary. Warden Bravo is aware of LT. Rodger stopping legal mail with Threats and stopping legal entity mail to create roadblocks to the Court. Mail to U.S. Supreme Court apart from legitimate penological interests is a Federal Violation and when LT. Rodger stopped by my Cell 8-29-12 he accused me of being a snake and said you know what we do with snakes I said "No" we kill 'em that's a direct threat Note: Keeplock Confinement and or illegal retaliation Administrative Segregation is now Fourteen days with no indication of facade claim of Criminal offense To Justify Segregation?

Inmate's Signature: James Ruppert → Title respondeat Superior Date: Sept. 11, 2012

Relief Requested:

Emergency Transfer To different level three, punitive damages and file Criminal charges on Mail Tampering and or Fraud Federal Violation that LT. Rodger Committed. LT. Justin Rodger S.T.I.U.

STEP 2 - To Be Completed by the Grievance Officer:

- A. ☐ Your grievance is accepted for consideration.
- B. ☐ Your grievance is being returned to you because of the following reason:
 - ☐ 1. The grievance is not readable.
 - ☐ 2. The matter has been answered in previous grievance #:
 - ☐ 3. The grievance concerns material not grievous under present policy.
 - ☐ 4. The grievance is a group grievance or petition. (Submit individually.)
 - ☐ 5. The grievance is not timely
 - ☐ 6. Other Specify:

Grievance Officer's Signature: [Signature] Date: 9/11/12

MEMORANDUM



Date: October 8, 2012

To: Inmate James Ruppert #32293

cc:

The GEO Group, Inc.
GEO Corrections
Guadalupe County Correctional Facility
P.O. Box 520
South Highway 54
Santa Rosa, NM 88435
www.geogroup.com

From: David Eastridge, Administrative Lieutenant

RE: GRIEVANCE INVESTIGATION AND RECOMMENDATION SR-12-09-08

On September 11, 2012 a Grievance was submitted to the Grievance Officer in regards to your claim that Warden Bravo is aware that Lt. Rodgers is stopping your legal mail with direct threats in order to create roadblocks for access to the courts I have reviewed and investigated your claim. You are not being denied legal access through Lt. Rodgers or any other source. You are currently housed in Disciplinary Segregation due to a finding of Guilt in reference to Fraud. At this time you have failed to substantiate your claim.

At this time your relief requested in which you are to receive punitive damages is Denied, however your request to be transferred can be requested through classification. **RECOMMEND GRIEVANCE DENIED**

LT D Eastridge
Grievance Officer's Signature

08 Oct 2012
Date

STEP 4 - Decision of Warden/Designee:

Denied (☒) Granted () Dismissed () Resolved () Referred ()

Rodriguez
Signature

10-10-12
Date

Date Returned to Inmate: *Ruppert 10-11-12*

COPY

NEW MEXICO CORRECTIONS DEPARTMENT
INMATE GRIEVANCEGrievance File #: SR-12-09-08**STEP 3 – Grievance Investigation and Recommendation:**

Grievance Officer's Signature _____

Date _____

STEP 4 – Decision of Warden/Designee: Date received by Grievance Officer: _____

Denied () Granted () Dismissed () Resolved () Referred ()

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Date Returned to Inmate: _____

STEP 5 – Departmental Appeal: (Return grievance to Grievance Officer for processing.)

A. Reason for appeal: Manipulating Tactic Segregation started Aug 28 2012
 Aug 29th 12 Lt. Rodgers gave threat request polygraph test on all
 parties. was not investigated Federal Violation Aug 28 To Sept 26
 No probable Cause To Justify Segregation Notified Gregg Marcantel
 CD-150500 Pg 8 J. Reprisals of Lt. Rodgers Conduct.

Inmate's Signature: James Pappert Date: Octth 12 2012Date Received By Grievance Officer: 10/12/12Date Sent to Grievance Coordinator: 10/12/12

RECEIVED
 OCT 12 2012

B. Department Decision:BY: K. Bacon

Cabinet Secretary/Designee _____

Date: _____

TO: Gregg Marcantel, Secretary of Corr.
Jerry Roark, Deputy director
Ralph Casaus, Internal Affairs, Grievance Adm.
or equivalent thereof New Mexico Dept of Corr.

Date Oct. 12th 2012

From: James Ruppert 32293 GCCF Santa Rosa, NM 88435

RE: Grievance Appeals, note several more Grievances being processed.

Issues: Administrative Malfeasance, abuse or neglect of Authority

Addressed To: Executive Branch Dept. of Corr. Santa Fe, NM 87502

Sir

Guadalupe County Correctional Facility Does NOT abide by policy and established procedures.

1. Federal Violation, illegal retaliation Segregation occurs without probable Cause. I have Keeplock Status Violation Aug 28th To Sept 26th with Coverup of PHD on Sept. 26th 2012 LT. Rodgers Creates, MS Vigil upholds, Created Self induced policy infractions with manipulated Created evidence.
 - A. Evidence Created after the Fact To Justify
 - B. Confidential information "Kits" officers Create
2. Federal Violation "Fiduciary Fraud" 18 U.S.C. 1341
Federal mail fraud statute.
with manipulated log entries to cover up the disappearance of legal mail, an appropriate investigation will reveal.
3. LT. Justin Rodgers issue a life endangerment threat
Grievance log SR-12-09-08 request polygraph test which policy allows for.
4. LT. Justin Rodgers is the Cause of the above and I want To file Criminal charges.

This notice is To inform you of major problems and for the Federal Court when filed.

Copy my file

Submitted by, James Ruppert
10-12-12

TO: Grievance Dept.
Guadalupe County Correctional Facility
Santa Rosa, NM 88435

Date Sept. 10th 2012

From: James Ruppert 32293 location H-1-A Seg. Cell 201

RE: Six informal Complaints filed as and or subject matter
with proceeding Grievances To follow.

Five day Time limit on informal Complaints has expired?

When you send the Six original informal Complaints back
each one needs to be accompanied with a photoCopy so when
I file the Six grievances Can maintain a Copy of informal for
my records.

Note: Im in Segregation so the rules change some !

If you don't send a photoCopy then I will submit grievances
without a Copy and note I will invoke a federal rule of
28 U.S.C. 1343, (a)(3) Civil rights and elective franchise
on First Amendment Grievance redress To the Court.

To deny a photoCopy would be To impede adequate, effective
and meaningful access To the Courts with intent To Create
legality roadblocks.

Respectfully Submitted, James Ruppert 9-10-12

Will also invoke 28 U.S.C. § 1746, 18 U.S.C. 1621

Note: illegal retaliation Administrative Segregation has Violated
Federal Ten day rule on Keyplock Confinement status,
Statement Documented for the Court.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the above request
of subject matter To be True and Correct of Grievant's legal
Knowledge.

Signature of Grievant James Ruppert 9-10-12

Hand written Copy my file

Signature, James Ruppert Date Sept. 10th 2012



State of New Mexico Corrections Department

Susana Martinez, Governor
Gregg Marcantel, Cabinet Secretary

PO Box 27116
Santa Fe, NM 87502-0116

James Ruppert NMCD 32293
Guadalupe County Correctional Facility
Grievance SR-12-09-09

Denied: This is in response to your grievance appeal you filed concerning staff harassment. The initial investigation indicates that you have failed to submit any type of evidence to support your claim and you continue to submit frivolous claims against staff. You have failed to provide any evidence in your allegations against staff and that staff are negligent for harassing you and committing fraudulent acts. You are not being denied legal access to the courts and you have failed to prove otherwise. You continue to submit false allegations and utilize the grievance procedure against staff in retaliation because you are non-compliant with the rules and regulations set forth within the NMCD when you issued a major level misconduct report for fraud. CD-150500, states that inmates are prohibited from the misuse/abuse of the grievance system. Inmates are not to use this procedure as a form of harassment against staff. Inmates using the grievance procedure to perpetrate the commission of a purposeful serious misconduct will be subject to disciplinary action consistent with current disciplinary policy provisions. You are retaliating against staff and the Department and this is prohibited. Your grievance appeal has failed to provide any evidence to support your claim; therefore this grievance appeal is denied.


Jerry Roark, Director of Adult Prisons

For Dir. Roark
11/9/12
Date

RECEIVED
AUG 31 2012
Revised 02/21/11 F

INMATE INFORMAL COMPLAINT

BY: K. Baca
08-12-79

Inmate Name: James Ruppert NMCD#: 32293
Facility: G.C.C.F. HU/Cell #: H-1-A 201 Date of Incident: 8-29-12

Name of subject or person to whom the complaint was filed against: ST.I.U. LT. Justin Rodger
Came To my Seg Cell A H-1-A 201

Explain your complaint in detail: LT. Rodger showed me several envelopes received from a legal entity in an attempt to blame me of wrong doing obstruction of legal mail or mail of a legal entity is a Federal Violation. I know LT. Rodger stopped legal mail to U.S. Supreme Court and attorney's regardless of what G.C.C.F. legal mail log shows.
Inmate Signature: James Ruppert Title: obstruction of mail, legal
Date: 8-30-12

Reviewing Staff Member

Date Received: 9-10-12

I, G. MORRIS, Major have reviewed the above informal complaint and
Recommend: () Resolution (☒) Recommend formal grievance

Explain: THIS ISSUE could not be resolved at the
INTERNAL STAGE! ~~INMATE SAYS THAT~~ IM RUPPERT
Believes that Lt. RODGERS is purposely interfering with
his LEGAL MAIL which Lt. RODGERS DENIES.

Staff Member: G. MORRIS [Signature] Date: 9-10-12

Print / Sign

Acknowledged by the signatures below, this informal complaint is ☐ Resolved ☒ Unresolved

Reviewing Staff Member: Johnny Johnson [Signature] Date: 9/10/12

Staff Witness: Kara Baca [Signature] Date: 9-10-12

Inmate: James Ruppert [Signature] Date: 9-10-12

Print / Sign

If this informal complaint could not be resolved, the inmate may pursue a formal grievance within 20 calendar days of the date of incident.

Attach this document to the Formal Grievance.

Mailed Grievance mail box

H-1-A Seg. Sept 11 2012

SEP 11 2012
Form CD-150501.1

INMATE GRIEVANCE

(page #1) By: K. Baca

Inmate's Name: James Rupert NMCD#: 32293 Grievance File #: SR-12-09-09Institution: GCCF Housing Unit: H-1-A 201 Date of Incident: 8-29-12Date Received by Grievance Officer: 9/11/12Grievance Officer's Signature: [Signature]**STEP 1 - Grievance:** Include documentation and names of any witnesses to support your claim. For your grievance to be accepted, you must state the relief requested. Use additional pages, if necessary.legal entity: Supreme Court law library sends case law which is legal material to inmates to help construct pleadings for the court. Lt. Rodger has stopped incoming and outgoing correspondence with Supreme Court law library, federal violation, obstruction legal correspondence "Protected by the Constitution"See Martin v. Sargent, 780 F.2d 1334 (8th Cir. 1985) Violates right of access to courts and first amendments rights See Madewell v. Roberts, 909 F.2d 1203 (8th Cir. 1990)→ Title obstruction of mail, legalInmate's Signature: James Rupert Date: Sept 11, 2012Relief Requested: criminal charges to be filed for obstruction of legal mail on Lt. Justin Rodger and Mailroom Supervisor Mrs. Chavez.**STEP 2 - To Be Completed by the Grievance Officer:**

- A. ☒ Your grievance is accepted for consideration.
- B. ☐ Your grievance is being returned to you because of the following reason:
- ☐ 1. The grievance is not readable.
 - ☐ 2. The matter has been answered in previous grievance #: _____
 - ☐ 3. The grievance concerns material not grievous under present policy.
 - ☐ 4. The grievance is a group grievance or petition. (Submit individually.)
 - ☐ 5. The grievance is not timely.
 - ☐ 6. Other Specify: _____

Grievance Officer's Signature: [Signature]Date: 9/11/12

MEMORANDUM



Date: October 8, 2012

To: Inmate James Ruppert #32293

cc:


From: David Eastridge, Administrative Lieutenant

The GEO Group, Inc.
GEO Corrections
Guadalupe County Correctional Facility
P.O. Box 520
South Highway 54
Santa Rosa, NM 88435
www.geogroup.com

RE: GRIEVANCE INVESTIGATION AND RECOMMENDATION SR-12-09-09

On September 11, 2012 a Grievance was submitted to the Grievance Officer in regards to your claim that Lt. Rodgers is stopping your access to incoming and outgoing correspondence with the Supreme Court. I have reviewed and investigated your claim. You are not being denied legal access through Lt. Rodgers or any other source. At this time you have failed to substantiate your claim.


At this time your relief requested in which you are requesting for criminal charges against Lt. Rodgers and Mail room Chavez to be filed. I, the Grievance Officer cannot file criminal charges against these individuals on your behalf, however it is your right to further pursue criminal charges if you feel an offense has been committed. **RECOMMEND GRIEVANCE DENIED**


Grievance Officer's Signature


Oct 2012
Date

STEP 4 - Decision of Warden/Designee:

Denied (☒) Granted () Dismissed () Resolved () Referred ()


Signature

10-10-12
Date

Date Returned to Inmate:  10-11-12

COPY

Form CD-150501.1
(page #2)**INMATE GRIEVANCE**
(continued)

Page 2

Grievance File #: SR-12-09-09**STEP 3 – Grievance Investigation and Recommendation:**

Grievance Officer's Signature _____

Date _____

STEP 4 – Decision of Warden/Designee: Date received by Grievance Officer: _____

Denied () Granted () Dismissed () Resolved () Referred ()

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Date Returned to Inmate: _____

STEP 5 – Departmental Appeal: (Return grievance to Grievance Officer for processing.)

A. Reason for appeal: issue for the Court to decide of unbridled discretion
 Disciplinary report Proves interference would not have interference if the
 penological had a law library as mandated in *Gluth v. Kangas*
 and *Casey v. Lewis* 773 F. Supp. 1309
 834 F. Supp. 1553 Aff'd 951 F.2d 1564 1991

Inmate's Signature: James Proppert Date: OCT 12th 2012Date Received By Grievance Officer: 10/12/12
Date Sent to Grievance Coordinator: 10/12/12**RECEIVED**
OCT 12 2012**B. Department Decision:**BY: KBaca

Cabinet Secretary/Designee _____

Date: _____




State of New Mexico Corrections Department


Susana Martinez, Governor
Gregg Marcantel, Cabinet Secretary

PO Box 27116
Santa Fe, NM 87502-0116


James Ruppert NMCD 32293
Guadalupe County Correctional Facility
Grievance SR-12-09-10

Denied: This is in response to your grievance appeal you filed concerning staff harassment. The initial investigation indicates that you have failed to submit any type of evidence to support your claim and you continue to submit frivolous claims against staff. You have failed to provide any evidence in your allegations against staff and that staff are negligent for harassing you and committing fraudulent acts. You are not being denied legal access to the courts and you have failed to prove otherwise. You continue to submit false allegations and utilize the grievance procedure against staff in retaliation because you are non-compliant with the rules and regulations set forth within the NMCD when you issued a major level misconduct report for fraud. CD-150500, states that inmates are prohibited from the misuse/abuse of the grievance system. Inmates are not to use this procedure as a form of harassment against staff. Inmates using the grievance procedure to perpetrate the commission of a purposeful serious misconduct will be subject to disciplinary action consistent with current disciplinary policy provisions. You are retaliating against staff and the Department and this is prohibited. Your grievance appeal has failed to provide any evidence to support your claim; therefore this grievance appeal is denied.


Jerry Roark, Director of Adult Prisons


Date

10/18/c
 Mailed Grievance Mailbox
 H-1-A Seg Sept 11 2012



INMATE GRIEVANCE

BY: K. Bacc
 SR-12-09-10

Inmate's Name: James Ruppert NMCD#: 32293 Grievance File #: _____
 Institution: GCCF Housing Unit: H-1-D 112 Date of Incident: 8-28-12 Time 8:35AM
 Date Received by Grievance Officer: 9/11/12
 Grievance Officer's Signature: [Signature]

INSTRUCTIONS: It is expected that inmates be provided a full and complete understanding of the grievance procedure. Grievances should be filed within 30 days of the date of the incident. Grievances should be filed in the original copy only in the designated area.

STEP 1 - Grievance: Include documentation and names of any witnesses to support your claim.

For your grievance to be accepted, you must state the relief requested. Use additional pages, if necessary. S.T.I.U. Team LT. Rodger with Anaya and Sena Placed me in administrative segregation without Just Cause and under false pretense of investigation of Criminal offense To Create a cell change for unnecessary and wanton infliction of pain by manipulating other inmates To Carry out premeditated assaults, life endangerment issues. (illegal retaliatory Administrative Segregation)

→ Title: Retaliatory Motives

Inmate's Signature: James Ruppert Date: Sept 11 2012

Relief Requested: Emergency Transfer To different level than three Facility, Civil Penalty and would warrant Criminal charges, filed on LT. Justin Rodger S.T.I.U.

STEP 2 - To Be Completed by the Grievance Officer:

- A. ☒ Your grievance is accepted for consideration.
 B. ☐ Your grievance is being returned to you because of the following reason:
- ☐ 1. The grievance is not readable.
 - ☐ 2. The matter has been answered in previous grievance #: _____
 - ☐ 3. The grievance concerns material not grievous under present policy.
 - ☐ 4. The grievance is a group grievance or petition. (Submit individually.)
 - ☐ 5. The grievance is not timely.
 - ☐ 6. Other Specify: _____

Grievance Officer's Signature: [Signature]

Date: 9/11/12

MEMORANDUM



Date: October 8, 2012

To: Inmate James Ruppert #32293
cc:

The GEO Group, Inc.
GEO Corrections
Guadalupe County Correctional Facility
P.O. Box 520
South Highway 54
Santa Rosa, NM 88435
www.geogroup.com

From: David Eastridge, Administrative Lieutenant

RE: GRIEVANCE INVESTIGATION AND RECOMMENDATION SR-12-09-10

On September 11, 2012 a Grievance was submitted to the Grievance Officer in regards to your claim that Lt. Rodgers, Sgt Anaya, and K-9 Officer Sena without just cause and under false pretense. I have reviewed and investigated your claim you were placed in Segregation due to an investigation concerning fraudulent acts in which you were charged through the administrative law and found guilty with the facility disciplinary process and you are currently in Disciplinary Segregation Status.

At this time your relief requested in which you are requesting for criminal charges against Lt. Rodgers to be filed. I, the Grievance Officer cannot file criminal charges against these individuals on your behalf however it is your right to further pursue criminal charges if you feel an offense has been committed. You further requested an emergency transfer you can request a transfer through classification. **RECOMMEND GRIEVANCE DENIED**




Grievance Officer's Signature

18 Oct 2012
Date

STEP 4 - Decision of Warden/Designee:

Denied ☒ Granted () Dismissed () Resolved () Referred ()



Signature

10-10-12
Date

Date Returned to Inmate: Ruppert 10-11-12

COPY

NEW MEXICO CORRECTIONS DEPARTMENT
INMATE GRIEVANCEGrievance File #: SR-12-09-10**STEP 3 – Grievance Investigation and Recommendation:**

Grievance Officer's Signature _____

Date _____

STEP 4 – Decision of Warden/Designee: Date received by Grievance Officer: _____

Denied () Granted () Dismissed () Resolved () Referred ()

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Date Returned to Inmate: _____

STEP 5 – Departmental Appeal: (Return grievance to Grievance Officer for processing.)

A. Reason for appeal: that's the False Pretense to Cover Created infraction
and manufactured the evidence to Cover the fact, illegal retaliation
Disciplinary report log # GC-12-09-18 Proves the fact
memo date 8-28th 12 evidence submitted 8-31-12
another Case for the Federal Court- CD-150500 Pg 8 J. Reprisals

Inmate's Signature: James Dupont Date: OCT 12th 2012Date Received By Grievance Officer: 10/12/12Date Sent to Grievance Coordinator: 10/12/12
RECEIVED
OCT 12 2012
B. Department Decision:BY: Kbaca

Cabinet Secretary/Designee _____

Date: _____

Copy my file
Note

INMATE INFORMAL COMPLAINT

BY: K. Bacon
08-12-80

Inmate Name: James Ruppert NMCD#: 32293
Facility: G.C.C.F. H-1-D 112 HU/Cell #: H-1-A 201 Date of Incident: 8-28-12 Time 8:31

Name of subject or person to whom the complaint was filed against: S.T.I.U. LT. Justin Rodger with Anaya and Sena Came to H-1-D 112 Time 8:35am and placed me in Segregation
Explain your complaint in detail: Retaliation for past Grievance's the fact that he LT. Rodger interfered with united states postal materials of legal elements of a legal entity (Supreme Court law library) and accusing me of wrong doing that's a fraudulent act and Federal Violation

Inmate Signature: James Ruppert Title retaliatory motive
Date: 8-30-12

Reviewing Staff Member

Date Received: 9-10-12

I, G. MORRIS, MAJOR have reviewed the above informal complaint and
Reviewing Staff Member

Recommend: () Resolution (☒) Recommend formal grievance

Explain: This issue could not be resolved to the Informal stage. IM Ruppert believes that the STIU Department is purposely interfering with legal mail which they deny.

Staff Member: G. MORRIS [Signature] Date: 9-10-12
Print / Sign

Acknowledged by the signatures below, this informal complaint is: ☐ Resolved ☒ Unresolved

Reviewing Staff Member: Johnny Teharo [Signature] Date: 9/10/12
Print / Sign

Staff Witness: Kian Bacon [Signature] Date: 9-10-12
Print / Sign

Inmate: James Ruppert [Signature] Date: 9-10-12
Print / Sign

If this informal complaint could not be resolved, the inmate may pursue a formal grievance within 20 calendar days of the date of incident.

Attach this document to the Formal Grievance.



State of New Mexico Corrections Department

Susana Martinez, Governor
Gregg Marcantel, Cabinet Secretary

PO Box 27116
Santa Fe, NM 87502-0116

James Ruppert NMCD 32293
Guadalupe County Correctional Facility
Grievance SR-12-09-16

Denied: This is in response to your grievance appeal you filed concerning staff harassment. The initial investigation indicates that you have failed to submit any type of evidence to support your claim and you continue to submit frivolous claims against staff. You have failed to provide any evidence in your allegations against staff and that staff are negligent for harassing you and committing fraudulent acts. You are not being denied legal access to the courts and you have failed to prove otherwise. You continue to submit false allegations and utilize the grievance procedure against staff in retaliation because you are non-compliant with the rules and regulations set forth within the NMCD when you issued a major level misconduct report for fraud. CD-150500, states that inmates are prohibited from the misuse/abuse of the grievance system. Inmates are not to use this procedure as a form of harassment against staff. Inmates using the grievance procedure to perpetrate the commission of a purposeful serious misconduct will be subject to disciplinary action consistent with current disciplinary policy provisions. You are retaliating against staff and the Department and this is prohibited. Your grievance appeal has failed to provide any evidence to support your claim; therefore this grievance appeal is denied.


Jerry Roark, Director of Adult Prisons

11/9/12
Date

RECEIVED
SEP 13 2012

Form CD-130501-1

(page #1)

BY: K. Baca


SR-12-09-16

INMATE GRIEVANCE

Inmate's Name: James Ruppert NMCD#: 32293 Grievance File #: _____

Institution: GCCF Housing Unit: M-1-A-20 Date of Incident: 8-29-12

Date Received by Grievance Officer: 9/13/12
Grievance Officer's Signature: [Signature]



STEP 1 - Grievance: Include documentation and names of any witnesses to support your claim. For your grievance to be accepted, you must state the relief requested. Use additional pages, if necessary. Ms. Chavez mailroom Supervisor allows S.T.I.U. Lt. Justin Rodger To stop obstruct and outgoing legal mail disappears as shown in Appendix F Pending in U.S. Supreme Court writ of Cert. Then Ms. Chavez Commits the fraudulent act of legal mail log To fraudulently cover up this Federal Violation with deception.

Title: Mailroom Supervisor

Inmate's Signature: James Ruppert Date: Sept 12, 2012

Relief Requested: @ Criminal charges To be filed for obstruction of legal mail on both parties Ms. Chavez and Lt. Justin Rodger S.T.I.U.

STEP 2 - To Be Completed by the Grievance Officer:

- A. ☐ Your grievance is accepted for consideration.
- B. ☐ Your grievance is being returned to you because of the following reason:
- ☐ 1. The grievance is not readable.
 - ☐ 2. The matter has been answered in previous grievance #: _____
 - ☐ 3. The grievance concerns material not grievous under present policy.
 - ☐ 4. The grievance is a group grievance or petition. (Submit individually.)
 - ☐ 5. The grievance is not timely.
 - ☐ 6. Other Specify: _____

Grievance Officer's Signature: [Signature] Date: 9/13/12

MEMORANDUM



Date: October 8, 2012

To: Inmate James Ruppert #32293
cc:

The GEO Group, Inc.
GEO Corrections
Guadalupe County Correctional Facility
P.O. Box 520
South Highway 54
Santa Rosa, NM 88435
www.geogroup.com

From: David Eastridge, Administrative Lieutenant

RE: GRIEVANCE INVESTIGATION AND RECOMMENDATION SR-12-09-16

On September 13, 2012 a Grievance was submitted to the Grievance Officer in regards to your claim Mail room Chavez allows Lt. Rodgers to stop and obstruct outgoing legal mail. I have reviewed and investigated your claim. At no time is outgoing legal mail obstructed from outgoing mail. At this time you have failed to substantiate your claim.


At this time your relief requested in which you are requesting for criminal charges against Mail room Chavez and Lt. Rodgers to be filed. I, the Grievance Officer cannot file criminal charges against these individuals on your behalf however it is your right to further pursue criminal charges if you feel an offense has been committed. **RECOMMEND GRIEVANCE DENIED**


Grievance Officer's Signature

Oct 2012
Date

STEP 4 - Decision of Warden/Designee:

Denied (☒) Granted () Dismissed () Resolved () Referred ()


Signature

10-10-12
Date

Date Returned to Inmate: Ruppert 10-11-12

COPY

NEW MEXICO CORRECTIONS DEPARTMENT
INMATE GRIEVANCEGrievance File #: SR-12-09-16**STEP 3 - Grievance Investigation and Recommendation:**

Grievance Officer's Signature _____

Date _____

STEP 4 - Decision of Warden/Designee: Date received by Grievance Officer: _____

Denied () Granted () Dismissed () Resolved () Referred ()

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Date Returned to Inmate: _____

STEP 5 - Departmental Appeal: (Return grievance to Grievance Officer for processing.)

A. Reason for appeal: a Case for the Court to decide informal Complaint 09-04-12 has bravo's statement of knowingly allows Lt. Rodgers to stop legal mail. Disciplinary Report log GC-12-09-18 proves legal mail stopped Note Conflicting statements (Sept. 14-15 2012 notified Gregg Marcantel) of Lt. Rodgers misconduct

Inmate's Signature: James Phipps Date: OCT 12th 2012Date Received By Grievance Officer: 10/12/12Date Sent to Grievance Coordinator: 10/12/12**B. Department Decision:****RECEIVED**
OCT 12 2012BY: K. Baca

Cabinet Secretary/Designee _____

Date: _____

Time 2pm Copy my file

NEW MEXICO
CORRECTIONS DEPARTMENT
INMATE INFORMAL COMPLAINT

RECEIVED
SEP 04 2012

Inmate Name: James Ruppert NMCD#: 32293 BY: K-Baca
09-02-12

Facility: G.C.C.F. HU/Cell #: H-1-A 201 Date of Incident: 8-29-12

Name of subject or person to whom the complaint was filed against: M5 Chavez mailroom
Supervisor allows LT. Rodger S.T.I.U. To interfere with legal mail, or entities etc

Explain your complaint in detail: 8-29-12 LT. Rodger showed me in front of Cell H-1-A201 se
Several letters from a legal entity and or legal mail outgoing. The legal mail log
is fraudulently made To cover this federal Violation. My mail To U.S. Supreme
Court and attorney's has been stopped by LT. Rodger S.T.I.U.

Inmate Signature: James Ruppert Title: Mailroom Supervisor
Date: Aug 31, 2012

Reviewing Staff Member

Date Received: 9-11-12

I, P. Chavez have reviewed the above informal complaint and
Reviewing Staff Member

Recommend: () Resolution (✓) Recommend formal grievance

Explain: I spoke to the mailroom and She stated that she does not allow
Rodgers to touch his legal mail. Anything from the law library is not Considered
legal mail. It's case legal.

Staff Member: P. Chavez P. Chavez Date: 9-11-12
Print / Sign

Acknowledged by the signatures below, this informal complaint is: ☐ Resolved ☒ Unresolved

Reviewing Staff Member: P. Chavez P. Chavez Date: 9-11-12
Print / Sign

Staff Witness: R Gurule R Gurule Date: 9/11/12
Print / Sign

Inmate: James Ruppert Ruppert Date: Sept 11, 2012
Print / Sign

If this informal complaint could not be resolved, the inmate may pursue a formal grievance within 20
calendar days of the date of incident.

Attach this document to the Formal Grievance.



State of New Mexico Corrections Department

Susana Martinez, Governor
Gregg Marcantel, Cabinet Secretary

PO Box 27116
Santa Fe, NM 87502-0116

**James Ruppert NMCD 32293
Guadalupe County Correctional Facility
Grievance SR-12-09-17**

Denied: This is in response to your grievance appeal you filed concerning staff harassment. The initial investigation indicates that you have failed to submit any type of evidence to support your claim and you continue to submit frivolous claims against staff. You have failed to provide any evidence in your allegations against staff and that staff are negligent for trying to get you assaulted and committing fraudulent acts. You continue to submit false allegations and utilize the grievance procedure against staff in retaliation because you are non-compliant with the rules and regulations set forth within the NMCD when you were issued a major level misconduct report for fraud. CD-150500, states that inmates are prohibited from the misuse/abuse of the grievance system. Inmates are not to use this procedure as a form of harassment against staff. Inmates using the grievance procedure to perpetrate the commission of a purposeful serious misconduct will be subject to disciplinary action consistent with current disciplinary policy provisions. You are retaliating against staff and the Department and this is prohibited. Your grievance appeal has failed to provide any evidence to support your claim; therefore this grievance appeal is denied.


Jerry Roark, Director of Adult Prisons

11/9/12
Date

10/18/12

NEW MEXICO CORRECTIONS DEPARTMENT
INMATE GRIEVANCEInmate's Name: James Ruppert NMCD#: 32293 Grievance File #: 58-12-09-17Institution: GCCF Housing Unit: H-1-D-42 Date of Incident: 8-28-12Date Received by Grievance Officer: 9/13/12 ^{Now 1-A-2p1}Time: 8:35 AMGrievance Officer's Signature: [Signature]

INSTRUCTIONS: It is expected that problems be resolved in an informal manner. Please read policy/procedure CD-150500 before filing a grievance. Your grievance must be typed or clearly written so as to be readable after photocopying. The grievance must be filed with the Institutional Grievance Officer to be valid. Copies sent elsewhere will be considered informational copies only, not requiring a response.

STEP 1 - Grievance: Include documentation and names of any witnesses to support your claim. For your grievance to be accepted, you must state the relief requested. Use additional pages, if necessary.

LT. Rodger's motive is To set me up for Contract hit and To punish for pending suit, Violated Federal law To Create infraction. Same thing happened February 5th 2010, Same Tactic that was employed To Create assault in April 19th 2010, When officers Created a Cell change to be Viciously attacked. Adverse action and or Causal Connection, retaliation for pending 1983 suit. Respondeat Superior Tactic warden Erasmo Bravo is fully aware to approve and Condone it.

Title: unbridled discretion, threatsInmate's Signature: James Ruppert Date: Sept 12, 2012**Relief Requested:**

immediate removal from GCCF level three To another level three
like Clayton NM should be appreciate this act would warrant a
Civil Penalty and immediate Emergency Transfer, or Criminal charge

STEP 2 - To Be Completed by the Grievance Officer:A. ☒ Your grievance is accepted for consideration.B. ☐ Your grievance is being returned to you because of the following reason:

- ☐ 1. The grievance is not readable.
- ☐ 2. The matter has been answered in previous grievance #: _____
- ☐ 3. The grievance concerns material not grievous under present policy.
- ☐ 4. The grievance is a group grievance or petition. (Submit individually.)
- ☐ 5. The grievance is not timely.
- ☐ 6. Other Specify: _____

Grievance Officer's Signature: [Signature]Date: 9/13/12

Page #1

RECEIVED
SEP 13 2012

BY: K. Baca

MEMORANDUM



Date: October 8, 2012

To: Inmate James Ruppert #32293
cc:

The GEO Group, Inc.
GEO Corrections
Guadalupe County Correctional Facility
P.O. Box 520
South Highway 54
Santa Rosa, NM 88435
www.geogroup.com

From: David Eastridge, Administrative Lieutenant

RE: GRIEVANCE INVESTIGATION AND RECOMMENDATION SR-12-09-17

On September 13, 2012 a Grievance was submitted to the Grievance Officer in regards to your claim that Warden Bravo condones and approves that Lt. Rodgers has set you up for a contacted hit to be assaulted for punishment on a pending lawsuit that you have. I have reviewed and investigated your claim. At this time you have failed to substantiate your claim and you have failed to submit any evidence to support your claim.

At this time your relief requested in which you are requesting for a transfer to another level three facility can be requested through classification. **RECOMMEND GRIEVANCE DENIED**

Lt DE [Signature]
Grievance Officer's Signature

Oct 2012
Date

STEP 4 - Decision of Warden/Designee:

Denied ☒ Granted () Dismissed () Resolved () Referred ()

Rodriguez [Signature]
Signature

10-10-12
Date

Date Returned to Inmate: Ruppert 10-11-12

COPY

NEW MEXICO CORRECTIONS DEPARTMENT
INMATE GRIEVANCEGrievance File #: 52-12-09-17**STEP 3 - Grievance Investigation and Recommendation:**

Grievance Officer's Signature _____

Date _____

STEP 4 - Decision of Warden/Designee: Date received by Grievance Officer: _____

Denied () Granted () Dismissed () Resolved () Referred ()

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Date Returned to Inmate: _____

STEP 5 - Departmental Appeal: (Return grievance to Grievance Officer for processing.)

A. Reason for appeal: Stop legal letter to Supreme Court law library to request A. blatant forms of Coercion B. Coerce into compromising first amend Violation and retaliation because of Political Content Gregg Marcantel refused to correct same thing happened in April 2010 officers use same tactic Dept of Corr. forfeits prisoner safety free reign of abuse and forfeits public safety.

Inmate's Signature: James Pappert Date: OCT 12th 2012Date Received By Grievance Officer: 10/12/12Date Sent to Grievance Coordinator: 10/12/12
RECEIVED
OCT 12 2012
B. Department Decision:BY: K. Balle

Cabinet Secretary/Designee _____

Date: _____

NEW MEXICO
CORRECTIONS DEPARTMENT
INMATE INFORMAL COMPLAINT

SEP 04 2012

Inmate Name: James Ruppert NMCD#: 32293 BY: K. Baca
09-03-12
 Facility: GCCF HU/Cell #: H-1-D 112 Date of Incident: 8-28-12 Time 8:35AM
Now 1-4-201

Name of subject or person to whom the complaint was filed against: LT. Justin Rodger S.T.I.U.
has potential of direct life and Death threat To my Safety

Explain your complaint in detail: LT. Rodger's motive is To set me up To Carry out
Contract hit is why he Violated federal law To Create interaction To
move me To Seg. To Create different Cell, Pod assignment So he Can use
inmates To Carry out assault To deter from 1983 lawsuit pending in the
United States Supreme Court

Inmate Signature: James Ruppert Date: Sept 1 2012

Title unbridled discretion, Threats Copy my file

Reviewing Staff Member

Date Received: 9-11-12

I, P. Chavez have reviewed the above informal complaint and
Reviewing Staff Member

Recommend: () Resolution (✓) Recommend formal grievance

Explain: Inmate claims that staff is trying to get him assaulted. I spoke
to L.T. Rodgers and stated the inmate was under investigation.

Staff Member: P. Chavez P. Chavez Date: 9-11-12
Print / Sign

Acknowledged by the signatures below, this informal complaint is: ☐ Resolved ☒ Unresolved

Reviewing Staff Member: P. Chavez P. Chavez Date: 9-11-12
Print / Sign

Staff Witness: R. Gurdle R. Gurdle Date: _____
Print / Sign

Inmate: James Ruppert Ruppert Date: Sept 11 2012
Print / Sign

If this informal complaint could not be resolved, the inmate may pursue a formal grievance within 20
calendar days of the date of incident.

Attach this document to the Formal Grievance.



State of New Mexico Corrections Department

Susana Martinez, Governor
Gregg Marcantel, Cabinet Secretary

PO Box 27116
Santa Fe, NM 87502-0116

James Ruppert NMCD 32293
Guadalupe County Correctional Facility
Grievance SR-12-09-18

Denied: This is in response to your grievance appeal you filed concerning staff harassment. The initial investigation indicates that you have failed to submit any type of evidence to support your claim and you continue to submit frivolous claims against staff. You have failed to provide any evidence in your allegations against staff and that staff are negligent for trying to get you assaulted and committing fraudulent acts. You continue to submit false allegations and utilize the grievance procedure against staff in retaliation because you are non-compliant with the rules and regulations set forth within the NMCD when you were issued a major level misconduct report for fraud. CD-150500, states that inmates are prohibited from the misuse/abuse of the grievance system. Inmates are not to use this procedure as a form of harassment against staff. Inmates using the grievance procedure to perpetrate the commission of a purposeful serious misconduct will be subject to disciplinary action consistent with current disciplinary policy provisions. You are retaliating against staff and the Department and this is prohibited. Your grievance appeal has failed to provide any evidence to support your claim; therefore this grievance appeal is denied.


Jerry Roark, Director of Adult Prisons

11/9/12
Date